Journal of Teaching and Education for Scholars (JOTES)
Vol. 2, No. 2; November 2025; Pages 151-167
Copyright © 2025

Unlocking Learner Potential Through Well-being: A Conceptual Framework for Integrating Positive Psychology to Foster Deep English Language Learning

Sahril Nur[1], Geminastiti Sakkir[2]*, Auliyanti Sahril Nurfadhilah[3]
*Corresponding author: geminastitisakkir@unm.ac.id

Received: 28/10/2025 Accepted: 16/11/2025 Published: 26/11/2025

Abstract

Second language (L2) learning is often constrained by psychological barriers such as anxiety, low motivation, and fixed mindsets, leading students to rely on surface-level memorization rather than deep learning. Drawing on a systematic review of theoretical and empirical literature, this conceptual paper proposes Positive Language Pedagogy—an integrative framework that embeds the PERMA model of positive psychology (Positive Emotions, Engagement, Relationships, Meaning, and Accomplishment) into English language teaching. The paper synthesizes findings from second language acquisition, educational psychology, and well-being research to explain how each PERMA component can dismantle affective filters, reduce anxiety, strengthen intrinsic motivation, and promote learner autonomy. The analysis shows that cultivating positive emotions broadens learners' cognitive capacity; fostering engagement creates optimal "flow" states; building supportive relationships enhances confidence and willingness to communicate; connecting learning to personal meaning sustains long-term motivation; and emphasizing accomplishment strengthens self-efficacy and a growth mindset. Together, these elements form a holistic pedagogical ecosystem that supports the cognitive, affective, metacognitive, and application dimensions of deep learning. This paper argues that shifting from a deficit-oriented paradigm focused on correcting errors to a strengths-based approach centered on well-being can transform English classrooms into spaces where learners thrive both linguistically and psychologically. The proposed framework offers theoretical grounding and practical implications for teachers, curriculum designers, and policymakers seeking to promote resilient, autonomous, and confident L2 learners.

Keywords: *Positive Psychology, English Language Learning, Deep Learning, PERMA Model, Learner Well-being*

Introduction

In an interconnected era of globalization, English proficiency has transformed from a mere asset into a fundamental competency essential across various domains, including academia, professional life, and intercultural communication (Graddol, 2006; Crystal, 2003). The demand for English mastery has driven a massive expansion of language teaching programs worldwide. However, underlying this expansion is a persistent paradox: despite broadening resources and access to learning, rates of failure, stagnation, and learner attrition remain high

[1] Universitas Negeri Makassar, INDONESIA. Email: sahrilfbsunm@unm.ac.id
[2] Universitas Negeri Makassar, INDONESIA. Email: geminastitisakkir@unm.ac.id
[3] Universitas Negeri Makassar, INDONESIA. Email: auliyantisn@unm.ac.id

How to cite this article (APA):
Nur, S., Sakkir, G., Nurfadhilah, A.S. (2025). Unlocking learner potential through well-being: A conceptual framework for integrating positive psychology to foster deep English language learning. *Journal of Teaching and Education for Scholars (JOTES), 2*(2), 151-167. https://doi.org/10.59065/jotes.v2i2.244

A Non-Research Article: Article Review

(Ushioda, 2013). Many learners, after years of formal education, still feel incapable of using the language spontaneously and confidently. They may possess sound grammatical knowledge yet fail at simple communicative tasks (Canale & Swain, 1980).

This gap between linguistic knowledge and linguistic performance highlights the shortcomings of dominant pedagogical approaches. Historically, many language teaching methodologies, from Audiolingualism to even communicative approaches, have tended to operate under the implicit assumption of a "medical" or deficit model (Mercer, 2011). The focus is on diagnosing errors, prescribing corrective drills, and achieving accuracy. While well-intentioned, this approach often inadvertently creates a learning environment fraught with evaluation, social comparison, and a fear of failure (Horwitz, Horwitz, & Cope, 1986).

Extensive research in the psychology of second language acquisition (SLA) has consistently demonstrated that affective and psychological factors play a role as important as, if not more important than, purely cognitive factors (Arnold, 1999; MacIntyre, 2017). Three primary psychological walls are consistently identified as major impediments:

a. Language Anxiety: Defined as the "specific apprehension and tension experienced in the context of L2 learning or use" (MacIntyre & Gardner, 1994), this anxiety is one of the most powerful negative predictors of language learning success. It can disrupt all stages of language processing—from input and processing to output—and lead to avoidance behaviors (Young, 1991; Horwitz, 2010).
b. Declining Motivation: Motivation is the engine of the long and often arduous L2 learning process. However, initial motivation is frequently eroded by negative learning experiences, a lack of perceived progress, and an inability to see personal relevance (Dörnyei & Ushioda, 2011; Gardner, 2007). Modern theories such as the L2 Motivational Self System (Dörnyei, 2009) emphasize the importance of the learner's future vision and identity, which are vulnerable in unsupportive learning environments.
c. Fixed Mindset: Learners with a fixed mindset, as conceptualized by Dweck (2006), believe that language ability is an innate talent. When faced with difficulties, they tend to conclude they are "not talented" in languages, leading to resignation and decreased effort (Mercer & Ryan, 2010; Lou & Noels, 2017).

The combination of these barriers creates what Krashen (1982) termed a high "Affective Filter," a mental block that prevents comprehensible input from being processed and internalized. Consequently, learners become trapped in a cycle of surface learning, where they merely memorize information to pass tests without achieving the conceptual understanding and application skills that are the hallmarks of deep learning (Marton & Säljö, 1976; Biggs & Tang, 2011).

The failure of the deficit model to effectively address these psychological barriers necessitates a paradigm shift. Instead of only asking, "What is wrong with the learner and how do we fix it?", a new approach must ask, "What conditions enable learners to thrive and reach their full potential?". This question leads us to the field of positive psychology, the science focused on the study of strengths, virtues, and the conditions that allow individuals and communities to flourish (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000; Gable & Haidt, 2005).

Based on this background, this conceptual paper aims to answer the following questions:

a. How can the theoretical framework of positive psychology, particularly the PERMA Model, be systematically adapted and integrated into English language teaching pedagogy?
b. What is the theoretical relationship between each component of the PERMA Model and the mitigation of psychological barriers (anxiety, low motivation, fixed mindset) and the facilitation of deep learning dimensions (cognitive, affective, metacognitive) in the context of SLA?
c. What are the practical implications of this integrative framework for classroom teaching practices, curriculum design, and teacher professional development programs?

The primary purpose of this article is to construct and present a comprehensive, evidence-based conceptual framework, which we term "Positive Language Pedagogy." This framework aims to provide a theoretical foundation and practical guidance for educators, researchers, and policymakers to design English learning experiences that are not only linguistically effective but also empowering and conducive to learners' psychological well-being.

The Imperative of Deep Learning in Second Language Acquisition

The distinction between deep and surface approaches to learning, first articulated by Marton and Säljö (1976), provides a critical lens for understanding the shortcomings of many traditional language classrooms. A surface approach to learning English involves treating the language as a collection of discrete, disconnected items to be memorized for reproductive purposes. This is exemplified by students who wrote-learn vocabulary lists for a quiz, cram grammatical rules the night before an exam, or memorize conversational scripts without understanding the underlying principles. The motivation is typically extrinsic: to pass the test or avoid negative consequences (Biggs & Tang, 2011). While this approach may lead to short-term success on certain types of assessments, it fails to produce genuine communicative competence.

In stark contrast, a deep approach is driven by an intrinsic intention to understand. The learner actively seeks to make sense of the new language, connecting it to their prior knowledge and personal experiences, looking for patterns, and reflecting on the underlying meaning (Entwistle, 2000). Deep learning in the context of SLA is a multidimensional construct that extends far beyond simple linguistic accuracy. It encompasses the following interconnected dimensions:

a. The Cognitive Dimension: This involves the development of a complex and nuanced understanding of the L2 system. It is not enough to know *what* a grammatical rule is; a deep learner understands *why* it exists and *how* it functions pragmatically in different contexts. This aligns with the higher-order thinking skills of analysis, evaluation, and creation in Anderson and Krathwohl's (2001) revised Bloom's Taxonomy. Learners engage in critical thinking, for example, by analyzing how a writer's choice of tense affects the narrative's tone or by evaluating the appropriateness of different politeness strategies in a given social situation.
b. The Application Dimension: Deep learning is demonstrated through the ability to apply linguistic knowledge flexibly and creatively in novel, unscripted communicative situations. It is the difference between reciting a rehearsed dialogue and successfully navigating an authentic conversation. This requires the learner to move from controlled

processing, which is slow and effortful, to automatic processing, where linguistic resources can be deployed fluidly and spontaneously to convey meaning (Richards & Rodgers, 2014).

c. The Metacognitive Dimension: This refers to the learner's ability to "think about their thinking" and take conscious control of their learning process. Deep learners are autonomous; they possess metacognitive knowledge about themselves as learners, about the nature of the language learning task, and about the range of available strategies (Wenden, 1998). They can set realistic goals, monitor their own comprehension, identify areas of difficulty, and adapt their learning strategies accordingly. This capacity for self-regulation is arguably the most critical factor for long-term success beyond the formal classroom (Oxford, 2011).

d. The Affective Dimension: This dimension recognizes that deep learning is inextricably linked to the learner's identity, emotions, and attitudes. It involves developing a positive self-concept as an L2 user, cultivating the self-confidence to take communicative risks, and fostering a "willingness to communicate" (MacIntyre et al., 1998). It also includes building resilience to cope with the inevitable setbacks and ambiguity inherent in the language learning journey (Ushioda, 2009). An environment that neglects this dimension may produce knowledgeable students who are nonetheless too anxious or unmotivated to ever use the language.

Persistent Psychological Barriers to Deep Learning in SLA

While deep learning is the ideal, the reality of many language classrooms is that learners are held back by significant psychological barriers that anchor them to surface-level strategies. These barriers create a high "affective filter" (Krashen, 1982), a metaphorical wall that prevents linguistic input from being processed effectively. Three of the most pervasive barriers are:

a. Language Anxiety: This is more than just feeling nervous; it is a distinct complex of self-perceptions, beliefs, feelings, and behaviors related to using an L2 (Horwitz et al., 1986). It often manifests through three components: communication apprehension (fear of speaking or listening), test anxiety, and fear of negative social evaluation. Cognitively, anxiety consumes precious working memory resources, diverting them from the primary task of language processing to worry and self-monitoring, leading to poorer performance and reinforcing the belief that one is "bad at languages" (MacIntyre & Gardner, 1994).

b. Fragile or Maladaptive Motivation: Motivation is the primary impetus for learning, but it is not a monolithic entity. While early theories focused on instrumental (practical gain) versus integrative (cultural interest) orientations (Gardner, 2007), contemporary models like Dörnyei's (2009) L2 Motivational Self System emphasize the role of identity and vision. Motivation is sustained when learners have a clear and compelling vision of their "Ideal L2 Self." However, this vision is highly susceptible to the learning environment. A classroom characterized by negative feedback, social comparison, and a lack of perceived progress can quickly erode this vision, leaving the learner with only extrinsic motivation (e.g., getting a good grade), which is often insufficient to sustain the long-term effort required for deep learning (Dörnyei & Ushioda, 2011).

c. Learner Beliefs and Fixed Mindsets: The beliefs that learners hold about the nature of language ability profoundly impact their behavior. Following Dweck's (2006) research, learners with a "fixed mindset" believe that language intelligence is a static, innate trait. For them, struggle is evidence of a lack of ability, leading them to avoid challenges and

give up easily. In contrast, learners with a "growth mindset" believe that ability can be developed through effort, effective strategies, and persistence. They see challenges as opportunities to learn and are more resilient in the face of setbacks (Mercer & Ryan, 2010; Lou & Noels, 2017). A classroom culture that praises innate "talent" over process and effort inadvertently fosters a fixed mindset, creating a significant barrier to deep learning.

Positive Psychology as a Proactive Pedagogical Foundation

To dismantle these barriers and cultivate the conditions for deep learning, a proactive, resource-building approach is required. Positive Psychology, defined as the scientific study of human flourishing (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000), provides precisely such a foundation. Instead of focusing solely on fixing what is wrong (e.g., reducing anxiety), it focuses on building what is right—cultivating strengths, positive emotions, and well-being. This shift from a deficit model to a strengths-based model has profound implications for education (Seligman et al., 2009). The most comprehensive and applicable framework to emerge from this field is Martin Seligman's (2011) PERMA model of well-being, which outlines five core, evidence-based pillars that are essential for flourishing:

a. Positive Emotions (P): Conceptualized through Fredrickson's (2001, 2004) Broaden-and-Build theory, this pillar involves intentionally cultivating feelings like joy, interest, gratitude, and hope. These emotions are not mere pleasantries; they have been shown to broaden cognitive flexibility, enhance creative problem-solving, and build lasting psychological resources.
b. Engagement (E): This pillar is defined by the concept of *flow* (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990), a state of complete absorption in an activity that is challenging yet matched to one's skills. In this state, learning is intrinsically motivating and highly effective.
c. Relationships (R): Grounded in the fundamental human "need to belong" (Baumeister & Leary, 1995), this pillar emphasizes the critical role of strong, supportive, and authentic social connections in promoting well-being, resilience, and collaborative learning (Diener & Seligman, 2002).
d. Meaning (M): This involves having a sense of purpose and feeling connected to something larger than oneself. In an educational context, it means connecting the learning process to a student's personal values, long-term goals, and aspirations, which is a key driver of sustained, intrinsic motivation (Steger, 2012; Ryan & Deci, 2000).
e. Accomplishment (A): This pillar refers to the pursuit of mastery and competence. It is not about winning, but about the process of setting and striving for goals, which builds self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997) and grit (Duckworth, 2016).

The PERMA model offers a holistic and actionable framework for redesigning language learning environments. By systematically targeting these five pillars, educators can move beyond merely managing the negative and begin actively building the psychological capital that enables learners not just to learn English, but to thrive while doing so.

Method

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design centered on conceptual framework analysis. As the primary aim is not to test an empirical hypothesis but to construct a new

theoretical model by integrating established theories from disparate fields, this design is the most appropriate approach (Jabareen, 2009; Ravitch & Riggan, 2017). The core of this methodology is the systematic synthesis of existing literature to build a coherent and logically sound framework that explains a phenomenon and offers a new lens for practice and research. The design is explanatory and generative in nature, seeking to answer "how" and "why" questions: *how* can positive psychology be integrated into SLA, and *why* would this integration be expected to foster deep learning? The process is iterative, involving a continuous dialogue between established theories and the emerging conceptual model presented in this paper.

Data Collection: The Systematic Literature Review Process

The "data" for this conceptual study consists of a comprehensive body of scholarly literature. To ensure the credibility and robustness of the proposed framework, a systematic literature review process was undertaken. This process was designed to be transparent, comprehensive, and replicable, following established principles for high-quality literature reviews (Snyder, 2019). The process was divided into three distinct stages:

Search Strategy and Sources

A multi-pronged search strategy was implemented to identify relevant literature across key disciplines. The search was conducted between October 2024 and February 2025 to ensure inclusion of the most current research.

a. Databases: The primary electronic databases searched were Scopus, ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), PsycINFO, Google Scholar, and ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. This selection was made to ensure comprehensive coverage across the core fields of applied linguistics, educational psychology, general psychology, and curriculum studies.
b. Keywords and Search Strings: A structured set of keywords was used, combined with Boolean operators (AND, OR), to systematically search the databases. The search terms were grouped into three main concepts:
    1) Concept A (Positive Psychology): "positive psychology", "well-being", "flourishing", "PERMA model", "positive emotions", "flow", "engagement", "character strengths", "grit", "gratitude".
    2) Concept B (Second Language Acquisition): "second language acquisition", "SLA", "English language learning", "ELT", "language anxiety", "L2 motivation", "affective filter", "learner beliefs", "willingness to communicate".
    3) Concept C (Learning Theory): "deep learning", "surface learning", "learner autonomy", "metacognition", "growth mindset", "self-efficacy", "self-determination theory".
    4) *Sample Search String:* ("positive psychology" OR "well-being") AND ("second language acquisition" OR "English language learning") AND ("deep learning" OR "language anxiety").
c. Ancestry Searching: The reference lists of highly relevant articles and seminal books were manually scanned ("ancestry searching") to identify foundational works that may not have been captured by the keyword search.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the quality and relevance of the literature included in the synthesis, a clear set of criteria was established:

a. Inclusion Criteria:
   1) Publication Type: Peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, book chapters, and meta-analyses.
   2) Language: Publications written in English.
   3) Timeframe: Primarily works published from 1980 to early 2025. This range was chosen to include foundational theories in SLA (e.g., Krashen, Horwitz) and positive psychology (e.g., Seligman, Dweck) as well as the most contemporary research.
   4) Relevance: The source had to directly address at least one of the core concepts (Positive Psychology, SLA phenomena, Deep Learning theories).
b. Exclusion Criteria:
   1) Non-peer-reviewed sources such as editorials, conference abstracts, blogs, and magazine articles.
   2) Studies in languages other than English.
   3) Research focused exclusively on L1 acquisition or the learning of subjects other than language, unless the theoretical concepts (e.g., mindset) were highly transferable.

Literature Screening and Selection

The literature search yielded an initial pool of over 600 potential sources. A multi-stage screening process, inspired by the logic of the PRISMA framework (Moher et al., 2009), was used to refine this pool:

a. Stage 1 (Title and Abstract Screening): The titles and abstracts of all identified sources were reviewed. Duplicates were removed, and sources that were clearly irrelevant to the research questions were excluded. This stage reduced the pool to approximately 200 sources.
b. Stage 2 (Full-Text Review): The full text of the remaining 200 sources was retrieved and reviewed against the inclusion/exclusion criteria. During this stage, articles were assessed for their theoretical contribution, methodological rigor (for empirical studies), and overall relevance to the development of the conceptual framework.
c. Stage 3 (Final Selection): Through the full-text review, a final corpus of 82 highly relevant and high-quality sources was selected to form the evidence base for this article.

Data Analysis and Framework Synthesis

With the final corpus of literature selected, the analysis and synthesis phase began. This was not a linear process but an iterative and reflective one involving three main activities:

a. Thematic Analysis and Concept Extraction: Each source was read in-depth, and key information was extracted and categorized. A thematic analysis approach was used to identify recurring themes, core theoretical constructs, proposed relationships between variables, and significant empirical findings within each domain (e.g., causes of language anxiety, conditions for flow, antecedents of a growth mindset).

b. Conceptual Mapping: The extracted concepts were organized into conceptual maps. Three primary maps were created:

   1) Map 1: The Landscape of Deep Language Learning: This map detailed the cognitive, affective, metacognitive, and application dimensions of deep learning and the pedagogical conditions known to support it.

   2) Map 2: The Architecture of Psychological Barriers: This map outlined the primary psychological impediments (anxiety, motivation decay, fixed mindset), their constituent parts, and their documented negative effects on the learning process.

   3) Map 3: The Pillars of Well-being: This map detailed the PERMA model, its five components, and the underlying psychological theories and mechanisms for each pillar.

Integrative Synthesis and Framework Construction: This was the culminating stage, where the conceptual maps were overlaid and systematically cross-referenced to build the proposed "Positive Language Pedagogy" framework. This synthesis involved a process of "conceptual bridging," where a specific problem or goal from Map 1 or 2 was explicitly linked to a specific solution or facilitator from Map 3. For example, the theme of "fear of negative evaluation" from the barrier map was directly linked to the "Positive Relationships" pillar from the well-being map. This process was repeated for all major themes, establishing the clear, theory-backed connections articulated in the Discussion section. The framework was refined iteratively, constantly referring back to the original literature to ensure that the proposed links were theoretically sound and consistent with existing evidence.

Result and Discussion

The preceding literature review established the critical need for a pedagogical shift away from deficit-remediation models and toward approaches that proactively foster learner well-being. It identified deep learning—characterized by cognitive complexity, flexible application, metacognitive control, and positive affect—as the desired outcome, and the PERMA model of well-being as a robust theoretical foundation for achieving it. This section now builds the conceptual bridge, articulating in detail how the systematic integration of each PERMA element into English language pedagogy can dismantle persistent psychological barriers and cultivate the conditions necessary for deep learning to flourish. The proposed "Positive Language Pedagogy" is not a collection of disconnected activities but an integrated ecosystem where each component mutually reinforces the others to create a transformative learning experience.

Positive Emotions (P)

The debilitating effect of language anxiety on cognitive processing is one of the most well-documented findings in SLA research (Horwitz, 2010; MacIntyre & Gardner, 1994). A pedagogy centered on well-being must therefore go beyond merely trying to minimize anxiety; it must actively cultivate positive emotions as an antidote and a resource-building mechanism.

a. Deeper Theoretical Link: The power of this approach lies in Fredrickson's (2001) Broaden-and-Build Theory. Negative emotions, like anxiety, evolutionarily serve to narrow one's thought-action repertoire to promote survival (e.g., fight or flight). In the classroom, this translates to cognitive narrowing, where an anxious learner's attention is fixated on the perceived threat of making an error, rendering them unable to process

broader communicative cues or complex linguistic input. Positive emotions, conversely, broaden this repertoire. Feelings of interest, joy, and curiosity open the mind to exploration, creativity, and a wider range of ideas. This "broadening" directly counters the narrowing effect of anxiety, freeing up precious cognitive resources for the demanding task of language processing (Fredrickson, 2004). Furthermore, the theory's "undoing hypothesis" suggests that positive emotions can undo the cardiovascular after-effects of negative emotions (Tugade & Fredrickson, 2004). For a language learner experiencing a racing heart before a speaking task, inducing a moment of gratitude or humor can physiologically calm them, making successful performance more likely. Over time, the "build" component of the theory suggests that these moments accumulate, building lasting psychological resources like optimism and resilience, which buffer the student against future stressors.

b. Expanded Classroom Implementation Strategies:

   1) Systematic Positive Priming: This goes beyond a simple warm-up. It involves intentionally starting lessons with activities designed to induce positive affect. For example, a "Positive Idiom of the Day" (e.g., "on cloud nine"), a brief paired discussion about a recent happy memory ("Tell your partner about one small good thing that happened yesterday"), or watching a short, inspiring TED-Ed video related to the day's topic. This primes the brain for openness and receptivity (Lyubomirsky, Sheldon, & Schkade, 2005).

   2) Cultivating a Culture of "Savoring": Teachers can explicitly instruct students to "savor" their linguistic successes. After a successful presentation or a well-written paragraph, the teacher can prompt reflection: "Take 30 seconds. How does it feel to have expressed that idea so clearly? What part of that are you most proud of?" This practice magnifies the positive emotional impact of achievement and helps to hardwire the connection between effort and positive feeling.

   3) Reframing Errors as Discoveries: This is a crucial strategy for tackling the fear of negative evaluation. Instead of a simple correction, the teacher can frame an error as a valuable data point. For example, if a student says, "I have been to the cinema yesterday," the teacher might respond, "That's a fascinating error! It shows you know the present perfect is about past experiences. Let's explore why for 'yesterday,' English speakers always switch to the simple past. You've helped us uncover a key rule." This reframing transforms a moment of potential shame into a collaborative, low-stakes investigation, fostering curiosity instead of fear.

c. Hypothesized Impact on Deep Learning: Fostering positive emotions directly strengthens the affective dimension by building confidence and reducing anxiety. It enhances the cognitive dimension by broadening attention and freeing working memory from the burden of worry. Finally, it supports the metacognitive dimension by encouraging a mindset where learners can view their own mistakes as data for reflection rather than as personal failings.

Engagement (E)

Deep learning is an active, immersive process. It cannot happen when learners are passive, bored, or disengaged. The concept of *flow* (Csikszentmihalyi, 1990) provides a precise blueprint for the ultimate state of engagement, where learning is so intrinsically rewarding that it becomes its own motivation.

a. Deeper Theoretical Link: Flow arises at the intersection of high challenge and high skill. If the challenge is too low, the learner feels bored. If it is too high, they feel anxious. The language classroom must therefore be a space of "structured risk," constantly pushing learners just beyond their current comfort zone (the ZPD). Csikszentmihalyi (1997) identifies several key components for engineering flow, all of which are directly applicable to language task design: clear goals (knowing exactly what needs to be done), immediate feedback (seeing the consequences of one's communicative attempts), a loss of self-consciousness (being too absorbed to worry about judgment), and a sense of control. When these conditions are met, the learner enters a state of intense concentration where the acquisition of complex linguistic skills can occur implicitly and rapidly, as cognitive resources are fully mobilized on the task at hand (Egbert, 2003).

b. Expanded Classroom Implementation Strategies:

   1) Problem-Based Learning (PBL): Present students with a complex, real-world problem that requires English to solve. For instance: "Our city wants to attract more young eco-tourists. In your teams, research what similar cities have done, and create a 5-minute 'pitch' in English for the tourism board, complete with a promotional slogan and key marketing points." This task has clear goals, requires integrated skills (reading, writing, speaking), provides immediate feedback from teammates, and is sufficiently complex to be highly engaging.

   2) Strategic Use of Technology: Utilize platforms that create immersive and interactive experiences. This could involve collaborative story-writing on a shared document, participating in a virtual exchange with students from another country to solve a shared problem, or using game-based learning platforms like Kahoot! or Quizlet Live for dynamic vocabulary review. The key is that technology should serve to deepen engagement, not act as a digital worksheet.

   3) "Strength-Based" Task Differentiation: Instead of differentiating tasks only by difficulty level, differentiate them by the character strengths they activate (Peterson & Seligman, 2004). In a group project about analyzing a news article, the student with the strength of "Curiosity" could be the lead researcher, the one with "Social Intelligence" could facilitate the group discussion and ensure all voices are heard, and the one with "Creativity" could design the final presentation. This allows all students to contribute from a position of confidence and competence, increasing the likelihood of engagement.

c. Hypothesized Impact on Deep Learning: Engagement directly promotes the application dimension of deep learning, as learners use language holistically to accomplish tasks. It strengthens the cognitive dimension by requiring higher-order thinking (problem-solving, synthesis). It also fosters the metacognitive dimension as students must manage their time, resources, and collaborative processes to complete complex projects.

Positive Relationships (R)

Language is fundamentally a tool for connection. A pedagogy that ignores this social dimension treats language as a sterile, academic object rather than a living, breathing entity. Building a classroom community grounded in trust, respect, and mutual support is not a "soft skill"; it is a prerequisite for the linguistic risk-taking essential for growth.

a. Deeper Theoretical Link: This pillar is strongly supported by Self-Determination Theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000), which posits "relatedness"—the need to feel connected to and cared

for by others—as one of three basic psychological needs for human flourishing and intrinsic motivation. When learners feel a sense of belonging in the classroom, they are more likely to internalize the values of the community, including the value of learning English. Furthermore, Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory highlights that cognitive development is a social process. Complex concepts are co-constructed through dialogue. In a supportive community, learners provide each other with "scaffolding," helping peers to achieve what they could not do alone. This peer-to-peer interaction is a powerful engine for learning, as explaining a concept to someone else is one of the most effective ways to deepen one's own understanding.

b. Expanded Classroom Implementation Strategies:

   1) Structured "Community Building" Rituals: Integrate brief, regular activities aimed solely at strengthening bonds. This could be a "Check-in Circle" at the start of the week where students share a goal for the week in English, or an "Appreciation, Apology, Aha!" session at the end of a project where students can appreciate a teammate's help, apologize for a misunderstanding, or share a learning insight.

   2) Peer Feedback Protocols: Teach students *how* to give and receive feedback constructively. Use protocols like "Praise-Question-Suggest" to structure their interactions. This turns feedback from a moment of judgment into a supportive act of collaboration, building trust while simultaneously developing valuable communication and analytical skills.

   3) Teacher as Relational Role Model: The teacher must actively model the desired behaviors: demonstrating empathy when a student is struggling, showing vulnerability by admitting their own mistakes (e.g., "I'm not sure of the best way to explain this, let's figure it out together"), and consistently expressing belief in every student's capacity to grow. This authenticity creates psychological safety and gives students permission to be imperfect learners themselves (Pianta, Hamre, & Allen, 2012).

c. Hypothesized Impact on Deep Learning: Positive relationships are the bedrock of the affective dimension, creating the safety needed for participation. They significantly enhance the cognitive dimension through peer teaching and the co-construction of knowledge. They also develop the metacognitive dimension as learners gain new perspectives on their own understanding through dialogue and feedback.

Meaning (M)

Motivation in a long-term endeavor like language learning cannot be sustained by grades and external rewards alone. The most resilient motivation is intrinsic, stemming from a deep connection between the learning activity and the learner's personal values, passions, and sense of purpose.

a. Deeper Theoretical Link: Dörnyei's (2009) L2 Motivational Self System provides a powerful explanation for this. The strongest driver of L2 motivation is the "Ideal L2 Self"—a vivid, desired image of oneself as a competent and confident user of the target language. When a learner can clearly envision their future self-using English to achieve a cherished goal (e.g., as an international scientist, a world traveler, someone connecting with family abroad), the daily, often tedious, work of learning acquires profound meaning. The classroom then becomes a workshop where they are actively constructing that future self. Every task, if framed correctly, is a step toward becoming that person. This connects directly to the broader psychological literature on purpose, which shows

that having a sense of direction and purpose is a key predictor of resilience and life satisfaction (Frankl, 1959; Steger, 2012).

b. Expanded Classroom Implementation Strategies:

1) "Future Self" Letter/Video Project: A milestone project where students research a career or life goal they have, identify how English is necessary for it, and then create a letter or short video *from* their future self-*to*othier current self, explaining why the hard work is worth it and giving advice. This is a powerful exercise in goal visualization and motivational self-talk.

2) Curriculum as "Windows and Mirrors": Ensure the curriculum provides "mirrors" where students see their own cultures and identities reflected and valued, and "windows" into other cultures. When students feel their identity is affirmed rather than erased in the language classroom, they are more likely to see English as an additive tool for expression, not a threat.

3) Community-Based Language Projects: Connect classroom learning to authentic community needs. For example, intermediate students could interview elderly community members about their life stories and then work together to translate and write these stories in simple English to share with a wider audience online. This provides an authentic purpose for communication and positions students as active contributors, not just passive learners.

c. Hypothesized Impact on Deep Learning: Meaning is the primary driver of the affective dimension, fostering sustained, intrinsic motivation. It elevates the cognitive dimension by pushing learners to engage with complex, authentic texts and ideas that are relevant to their goals. Most importantly, it promotes the highest level of metacognition—self-regulation—where learning is driven not by the teacher, but by the student's own internal compass of values and purpose.

Accomplishment (A)

A feeling of progress is the fuel for persistence. In language learning, where progress can be slow and non-linear, it is vital to create a culture where accomplishment is defined not as perfection, but as growth, effort, and the overcoming of challenges.

a. Deeper Theoretical Link: This pillar is grounded in Bandura's (1997) Self-Efficacy Theory and Dweck's (2006) Mindset Theory. Self-efficacy, or the belief in one's own ability to succeed, is built primarily through "mastery experiences"—succeeding at challenging tasks. By structuring the curriculum as a series of manageable but challenging steps, teachers can engineer these mastery experiences. Every success, no matter how small, builds a student's belief that they *can* learn the language. This belief is the engine of effort and persistence. This process is mediated by mindset. A learner with a fixed mindset views a mistake as proof of their lack of ability, which undermines self-efficacy. A learner with a growth mindset, however, sees the mistake as a necessary part of the learning process—an opportunity to learn and improve. Thus, fostering accomplishment is not just about celebrating wins, but about cultivating a growth-oriented interpretation of both successes and setbacks (Yeager & Dweck, 2012). It is about building grit—the tendency to sustain passion and perseverance for long-term goals (Duckworth, 2016).

b. Expanded Classroom Implementation Strategies:

1) Process-Focused Portfolios ("Progress-folios"): Shift from product-focused portfolios (showing off best work) to process-focused ones. Students should include drafts alongside final versions, written reflections on what they changed and why, a "favorite mistake" of the month and what they learned from it, and a "can-do" checklist where they track specific skills they have mastered (e.g., "I can now order a meal in a restaurant"). This makes progress visible and values the journey, not just the destination.

2) Mastery-Based Assessment: Where possible, shift away from norm-referenced grading (comparing students to each other) towards criterion- or mastery-based assessment. Allow students to re-submit work after receiving feedback until they demonstrate mastery of a concept. This sends the powerful message that learning, not ranking, is the ultimate goal, and that ability can be developed with effort.

3) "Strength Spotting" and Peer Recognition: Train students to "spot" and acknowledge the strengths and efforts of their peers. This could be a simple closing routine where students share one thing they appreciated about a partner's effort during a paired activity. This builds a culture where accomplishment is seen as a collective and multifaceted construct, not just an individual race for the best grade, directly targeting the "social persuasion" source of self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997).

Hypothesized Impact on Deep Learning: This focus on accomplishment directly builds the affective dimension by fostering self-efficacy and grit. It is central to the metacognitive dimension, as it relies on goal-setting, self-monitoring, and reflective practice. By encouraging persistence and strategic effort, it supports the deep, sustained engagement required for the cognitive dimension of learning.

Conclusion

The world of English language teaching is indeed at a pivotal moment. Persisting with deficit-centered pedagogy risks reinforcing patterns of anxiety, demotivation, and superficial learning that continue to limit learners' potential. This conceptual paper has proposed an alternative pathway by advancing Positive Language Pedagogy, a framework that integrates the PERMA model of positive psychology to promote both linguistic development and learner well-being.

Rather than viewing the classroom as a site for correcting deficiencies, this framework positions it as a strengths-based ecosystem—a space where learners can experience positive emotions, sustain deep engagement, develop supportive relationships, connect learning to personal meaning, and celebrate meaningful accomplishments. Such conditions help dismantle affective barriers and nurture the psychological resources necessary for resilient, confident, and autonomous L2 learners.

As a conceptual contribution, this paper outlines a theoretical foundation rather than empirical proof. Future research is therefore essential to test and refine this framework. Potential next steps include designing teacher training modules grounded in PERMA principles, conducting small-scale classroom interventions to evaluate practical feasibility, and examining learner outcomes through mixed-methods studies. Longitudinal research would also help

determine whether well-being–centered pedagogy produces lasting effects on motivation, proficiency, and learner autonomy.

Moving forward, the challenge is not merely to adopt new techniques but to reorient the purpose of language education toward human flourishing. Positive Language Pedagogy offers a promising direction for building classrooms where learners thrive both linguistically and psychologically—a vision that is ambitious but necessary for a more humane future in language education.

References

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. Longman.

Arnold, J. (Ed.). (1999). *Affect in language learning*. Cambridge University Press.

Arnold, J., & Brown, H. D. (1999). A map of the terrain. In J. Arnold (Ed.), *Affect in language learning* (pp. 1–24). Cambridge University Press.

Atmowardoyo, H., & Sakkir, G. (2021, November). The development of language learning theory based on best practice: A literature review. In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Languages and Arts (ICLA 2021)*(pp. 172–184). Atlantis Press.

Atmowardoyo, H., Weda, S., & Sakkir, G. (2021). Learning strategies in English skills used by good language learners in millennial era: A positive case study in Universitas Negeri Makassar. *ELT Worldwide*, 8(1), 28–40.

Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.

Benson, P. (2011). *Teaching and researching autonomy* (2nd ed.). Pearson.

Biggs, J. (1993). What do inventories of students' learning processes really measure? A theoretical review and clarification. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 63(1), 3–19.

Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning at university* (4th ed.). Open University Press.

Bolier, L., Haverman, M., Westerhof, G. J., Riper, H., Smit, F., & Bohlmeijer, E. (2013). Positive psychology interventions: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *BMC Public Health*, 13, 119.

Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.

Cornelius-White, J. (2007). Learner-centered teacher-student relationships are effective: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 113–143.

Crystal, D. (2003). *English as a global language* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.

Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1997). *Finding flow: The psychology of engagement with everyday life*. Basic Books.

Csikszentmihalyi, M., Abuhamdeh, S., & Nakamura, J. (2005). Flow. In A. J. Elliot & C. S. Dweck (Eds.), *Handbook of competence and motivation* (pp. 598–608). Guilford Press.

Dewaele, J. M., & Prodromou, L. (1999). Extraversion and introversion: The Eysenckian model of personality and second language acquisition. In P. Robinson (Ed.), *Cognition and second language instruction* (pp. 21–45). Cambridge University Press.

Diener, E., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2002). Very happy people. *Psychological Science*, 13(1), 81–84.

Doran, G. T. (1981). There's a S.M.A.R.T. way to write management's goals and objectives. *Management Review*, 70(11), 35–36.

Dörnyei, Z. (2009). The L2 motivational self-system. In Z. Dörnyei & E. Ushioda (Eds.), *Motivation, language identity and the L2 self* (pp. 9–42). Multilingual Matters.

Dörnyei, Z., & Ushioda, E. (Eds.). (2011). *Teaching and researching motivation* (2nd ed.). Pearson.

Duckworth, A. L. (2016). *Grit: The power of passion and perseverance*. Scribner.

Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random House.

Egbert, J. (2003). A study of flow theory in the foreign language classroom. *The Modern Language Journal*, 87(4), 499–518.
Emmons, R. A., & McCullough, M. E. (2003). Counting blessings versus burdens: An experimental investigation of gratitude and subjective well-being in daily life. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 84(2), 377–389.
Entwistle, N. (2000). Promoting deep learning through teaching and assessment: Conceptual frameworks and educational contexts. Paper presented at the TLRP Conference, Leicester.
Frankl, V. E. (1959). *Man's search for meaning*. Beacon Press.
Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226.
Fredrickson, B. L. (2004). The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 359(1449), 1367–1377.
Fredrickson, B. L. (2009). *Positivity*. Crown.
Gable, S. L., & Haidt, J. (2005). What (and why) is positive psychology? *Review of General Psychology*, 9(2), 103–110.
Gable, S. L., Gonzaga, G. C., & Strachman, A. (2006). Will you be there for me when things go right? Supportive responses to positive event disclosures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 91(5), 904–917.
Gardner, R. C. (2007). Motivation and second language acquisition. *Porta Linguarum*, 8, 9–20.
Goodenow, C. (1993). Classroom belonging among early adolescent students: Relationships to motivation and achievement. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 13(1), 21–43.
Graddol, D. (2006). *English next*. British Council.
Horwitz, E. K. (2010). Foreign and second language anxiety. *Language Teaching*, 43(2), 154–167.
Horwitz, E. K., Horwitz, M. B., & Cope, J. (1986). Foreign language classroom anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal*, 70(2), 125–132.
Jabareen, Y. (2009). Building a conceptual framework: Philosophy, definitions, and procedure. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(4), 49–62.
Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, 38(5), 365–379.
Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Pergamon Press.
Lee, J. J., & Hammer, J. (2011). Gamification in education: What, how, why bother? *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 15(2), 146.
Lou, N. M., & Noels, K. A. (2017). "My abilities can change!": The role of language mindset in foreign language students' motivation and achievement. *The Modern Language Journal*, 101(3), 558–575.
Lu, L., & Gilmour, R. (2004). Culture and conceptions of happiness: Individual-oriented and social-oriented SWB. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 5(3), 269–291.
Lyubomirsky, S., Sheldon, K. M., & Schkade, D. (2005). Pursuing happiness: The architecture of sustainable change. *Review of General Psychology*, 9(2), 111–131.
MacIntyre, P. D. (2017). An overview of language anxiety research and trends in its development. In C. Gkonou, M. Daubney, & J.-M. Dewaele (Eds.), *New insights into language anxiety: Theory, research and educational implications*(pp. 11–30). Multilingual Matters.
MacIntyre, P. D., & Gardner, R. C. (1994). The subtle effects of language anxiety on cognitive processing in the second language. *Language Learning*, 44(2), 283–305.
MacIntyre, P. D., Clément, R., Dörnyei, Z., & Noels, K. A. (1998). Conceptualizing willingness to communicate in a L2: A situational model of L2 confidence and affiliation. *The Modern Language Journal*, 82(4), 545–562.
Marton, F., & Säljö, R. (1976). On qualitative differences in learning: I—Outcome and process. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 46(1), 4–11.
Mercer, S. (2011). *Towards an understanding of language learner self-concept*. Springer.
Mercer, S., & Ryan, S. (2010). A mindset for EFL: Learners' beliefs about the nature of language learning. *ELT Journal*, 64(4), 436–444.

Nakamura, J., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2002). The concept of flow. In C. R. Snyder & S. J. Lopez (Eds.), *Handbook of positive psychology* (pp. 89–105). Oxford University Press.

Osterman, K. F. (2000). Students' need for belonging in the school community. *Review of Educational Research*, 70(3), 323–367.

Oxford, R. L. (2011). *Teaching and researching language learning strategies*. Pearson.

Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2004). *Character strengths and virtues: A handbook and classification*. Oxford University Press.

Pianta, R. C. (1999). *Enhancing relationships between children and teachers*. American Psychological Association.

Pianta, R. C., Hamre, B. K., & Allen, J. P. (2012). Teacher-student relationships and engagement. In S. L. Christenson, A. L. Reschly, & C. Wylie (Eds.), *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 365–386). Springer.

Price, M. L. (1991). The subjective experience of foreign language anxiety. In E. K. Horwitz & D. J. Young (Eds.), *Language anxiety: From theory and research to classroom implications* (pp. 101–108). Prentice Hall.

Reis, H. T., Sheldon, K. M., Gable, S. L., Roscoe, J., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). Daily well-being: The role of autonomy, competence, and relatedness. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 26(4), 419–435.

Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Rowe, G., Hirsh, J. B., & Anderson, A. K. (2007). Positive affect increases the breadth of attentional selection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104(1), 383–388.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78.

Scovel, T. (1978). The effect of effect on foreign language learning: A review of the anxiety research. *Language Learning*, 28(1), 129–142.

Seligman, M. E. P. (1998). *Learned optimism*. Pocket Books.

Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish*. Free Press.

Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 5–14.

Seligman, M. E. P., Ernst, R. M., Gillham, J., Reivich, K., & Linkins, M. (2009). Positive education: Positive psychology and classroom interventions. *Oxford Review of Education*, 35(3), 293–311.

Seligman, M. E. P., Steen, T. A., Park, N., & Peterson, C. (2005). Positive psychology progress: Empirical validation of interventions. *American Psychologist*, 60(5), 410–421.

Sin, N. L., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2009). Enhancing well-being and alleviating depressive symptoms with positive psychology interventions. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 65(5), 467–487.

Slavin, R. E. (1996). Research on cooperative learning and achievement. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 21(1), 43–69.

Steger, M. F. (2012). Experiencing meaning in life. In P. T. P. Wong (Ed.), *The human quest for meaning* (2nd ed., pp. 165–184). Routledge.

Thomas, J. W. (2000). *A review of research on project-based learning*. The Autodesk Foundation.

Tomlinson, C. A. (2001). *How to differentiate instruction in mixed-ability classrooms* (2nd ed.). ASCD.

Tugade, M. M., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2004). Resilient individuals use positive emotions to bounce back. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 86(2), 320–333.

Ushioda, E. (2003). Motivation as a socially mediated process. In D. Little, J. Ridley, & E. Ushioda (Eds.), *Learner autonomy in the foreign language classroom* (pp. 90–102). Authentik.

Ushioda, E. (2009). A person-in-context relational view of emergent motivation. In Z. Dörnyei & E. Ushioda (Eds.), *Motivation, language identity and the L2 self* (pp. 215–228). Multilingual Matters.

Ushioda, E. (2013). *International perspectives on motivation: Language learning and professional challenges*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.

Waring, R. (2011). The inescapable case for extensive reading. *Teaching English*, 3, 5–14.
Wenden, A. L. (1998). Metacognitive knowledge and language learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 19(4), 515–537.
White, M. A. (2019). Positive education for the world. In M. A. White, G. R. Slemp, & S. A. Murray (Eds.), *Future directions in well-being* (pp. 11–23). Springer.
Willis, D., & Willis, J. (2007). *Doing task-based teaching*. Oxford University Press.
Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). Mindsets that promote resilience. *Educational Psychologist*, 47(4), 302–314.
Young, D. J. (1991). Creating a low-anxiety classroom environment. *The Modern Language Journal*, 75(4), 426–439.
Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Self-efficacy: An essential motive to learn. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 82–91.

Biographies

Sahril Nur is a senior lecturer (professor) in English Department at Universitas Negeri Makassar.

Auliyanti Sahril Nurfadhilah is a lecturer in English Department at Universitas Negeri Makassar.

Geminastiti Sakkir is a lecturer in the English Department of the English Education Study Program, Faculty of Languages and Literature at Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia. Her areas of interest and research include TEFL, Writing, Business English, ESP, and Technology in Education. She is also active in writing academic articles, reference books, literature books (poetry), etc.